

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/722,620	Applicant(s) MELKER ET AL.		
	Examiner NEIL TURK	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> Art Unit 1797 </td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 1797	
Art Unit 1797				

All Participants:

(1) Neil Turk.

(2) Timoth Van Dyke.

Date of Interview: 16 July 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:00PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 4-27, 29, 30, 32, 33, and 35-37

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner discussed possible amendments to be made to the claims so as to place the claims in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to Examiner's amendments, and Examiner agreed to have the amendments entered by way of an Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jill Warden/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)